IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYAN AT CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.753 of 2006
Date of decision: February 8,2007

Mrs. Pamela Chawla V. Anil Kumar Chawla

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

Present: Shri Raman Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Shri R.S.Bains,Advocate, for the respondent.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral)

The wife is the petitioner before this Court. She has challenged the order dated December 19,2005 passed by the learned trial Judge whereby on an application filed under section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, an amount of Rs.6000/- per month has been fixed as maintenance pendente lite for the son and Rs.3,000/- per month has been fixed as maintenance pendente lite for the daughter of the parties. It is not in dispute that wife herself is earning and her salary is stated to be more than Rs.29,000/- per month.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and also the fact that the husband had himself offered to pay a total amount of Rs.9,000/- per month for the expenses of the children, it cannot be said that the amount offered by the husband and accepted by the trial Court, is inadequate in any manner.

In view of the above,I do not find any merit in the present petition. The same is dismissed.

February 8,2007 (Viney Mittal)
sks Judge